

Table S1. Characteristics of Zlotnicka White pigs representing high and low-fat deposition traits, means \pm SD

Traits	HFD (n=8)		LFD (n=8)		P-value	Group diff*	All pigs (n=72)	
	mean	SD	mean	SD			mean	SD
Daily gain (g)	641 a	34.5	718 b	84.2	0.03	11%	700	106
Backfat thickness (cm)	2.09 A	0.31	1.53 B	0.36	0.004	27%	1.90	0.39
Peritoneal fat (kg)	0.72 A	0.11	0.46 B	0.06	0.0001	36%	0.61	0.16
Backfat thickness in the K1 point (cm)	2.23 A	0.37	1.44 B	0.20	0.0002	35%	2.00	0.52
Ham fat mass with skin (kg)	2.49 A	0.21	1.80 B	0.23	1.86E-05	28%	2.15	0.37
Loin fat mass with skin (kg)	2.37 A	0.17	1.58 B	0.28	2.06E-05	33%	2.03	0.48
Fat over shoulder thickness (cm)	2.85 A	0.27	2.11 B	0.31	0.0002	26%	2.75	0.61
Lumbar fat I thickness (cm)	2.43 A	0.26	1.44 B	0.32	1.096E-05	41%	2.16	0.55
Lumbar fat II thickness (cm)	2.20 A	0.47	1.26 B	0.21	0.0004	43%	1.95	0.54
Lumbar fat III thickness (cm)	2.74 A	0.63	1.80 B	0.29	0.003	34%	2.40	0.59
Average backfat thickness (cm)	2.46 A	0.27	1.60 B	0.17	8.63E-06	35%	2.27	0.45

Abbreviations: SD – standard deviation, HFD – high-fat deposition, LFD – low-fat deposition. *Group diff – differences between groups in percentage. Values with different letters belong to various statistical group (A, B = $P < 0.01$; a, b = $P < 0.05$).

Table S2. Primers and probes used in qPCR analysis

Gene	Primers and probe or Taqman Gene Expression Assay ID	AL [bp]	Label	Reference sequences accession numbers	Exon Boundary
<i>RPL27</i>	5'NED – CCGTCATCGTAAAGAA CGGTACTCCGGACGCAAA GGTCTGAGGTGCCATCATCA	55	NED	ENSSSCG00000025507	1–2
<i>OAZ1</i>	Ss03387505_ul	177	FAM	NM_001122994.1	5–5
<i>RPS29</i>	Ss03391548_g1	71	VIC	NM_001001633.2	2–3
<i>AHSG</i>	Ss03374810_ml	60	FAM	XM_005652369.3	6–7
<i>ACACA</i>	Ss03389963_ml	61	FAM	NM_001114269.1	54–55
<i>CYP11A1</i>	Ss03394918_g1	78	FAM	NM_214412.1	3–4
<i>GYS2</i>	Ss04248111_ml	62	FAM	NM_001195511.1	8–9
<i>PON3</i>	Ss03388478_ml	105	FAM	NM_001044604.1	9–10
<i>ACAA2</i>	Ss04245775_ml	71	FAM	NM_001167638.1	7–8
<i>PCK1</i>	Ss03390599_g1	65	FAM	NM_001123158.1	3–4
<i>PCK2</i>	Ss03373733_ml	68	FAM	NM_001161753.1	4–5
<i>C4</i>	Ss03390274_ml	63	FAM	NM_001123089.2	35–36
<i>LDHD</i>	AGCCTGTGCTATGACCAAG GTTCCACCAACACGGAAAAG	160	EvaGreen	ENSSSCG00000002712	3–4
<i>PIK3R1</i>	ACATGAATATAACACTCAATTTCAAGA TTCCTTGCTGTACCGTCTCT	175	EvaGreen	ENSSSCG00000004742	10–11
<i>HP</i>	CTCTGGGGCAGCTTTT CGTGGCAGTTTGTAGTAGG	147	EvaGreen	ENSSSCG00000002749	3–4
<i>LPIN3</i>	CTTCCGATGCTCACCCCTC CACATGTTCCATCGCTGT	171	EvaGreen	ENSSSCG00000007359	4–5
<i>FADS1</i>	TGAGCTCTCTGTGATTGGA GTGCAGCAGGTGCATTAAGA	161	EvaGreen	ENSSSCG00000024015	2–3
<i>CPT1A</i>	AATGGATCCACGCCATC GGTGTGGATGGTGTCTGTTT	160	EvaGreen	ENSSSCG00000012880	9–10
<i>FASN</i>	Ss03386194_ul	95	FAM	NM_001099930.1	40
<i>FGF3</i>	Ss04328981_g1	127	FAM	NM_001244113.1	1

AL – amplicon length.

Table S3. Validation of RNA-seq result using qPCR method

Gene	Pearson correlation coefficient (r)	P-value
<i>AHSG</i>	0.66	0.0051
<i>ACACA</i>	0.87	<0.0001
<i>CYP11A1</i>	0.96	<0.0001
<i>GYS2</i>	0.81	<0.0001
<i>PON3</i>	0.97	<0.0001
<i>ACAA2</i>	0.78	0.0004
<i>PCK1</i>	0.94	<0.0001
<i>LDHD</i>	0.72	0.0018
<i>PIK3R1</i>	0.92	<0.0001
<i>HP</i>	0.81	0.0002
<i>FADS1</i>	0.96	<0.0001
<i>CPT1A</i>	0.95	<0.0001
<i>FASN</i>	0.59	0.016
<i>FGB</i>	0.96	<0.0001

Pearson correlation coefficient (r) and p-value generated using the SAS Enterprise 7.1.